

## Fact Sheet:

## Models used in SHAPE: IAM and Input-Output models

The SHAPE Sustainable Development Pathways (SDP scenarios) address the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the UN Agenda 2030 and climate change mitigation in an integrated way. They are quantified using different integrated assessment models (IAMs), as well as one input-output model. This allows for a model comparison approach to assess which indicator developments are "robust" (meaning that models show similar results), and where there is larger uncertainty about specific developments (the results are very different between models).

The models, however, differ in the way they calculate their results. While not every model can quantify the exact same indicators, a core set of indicators is common to them. A strength of the modeling as done in the SHAPE project is that sectoral input-output models provide detailed information about material flows that are taken up by the larger and less detailed IAMs. Together, the models allow for a more comprehensive analysis of the SDP scenarios, and hence of interactions between the SDGs and climate change mitigation. An overview of the models used for the analysis of the SDP scenarios is presented in the following, along with an overview of SDG indicators and how they are covered in the different models.

> MODEL TYPE	Integ	Input-Output model			
> MODEL NAME	REMIND-MAgPIE	IMAGE	MESSAGEix- Buildings	EXIOfuture+ ODYM-RECC	
> WEBSITE	<u>PIK</u>	<u>PBL</u>	<u>IIASA</u>	<u>NTNU</u>	
➤ SHORT DESCRIPTION	Modelling energy-economy & land use; transformation towards climate and sustainability targets	Biophysical & economic represen- tation of the inter- actions between human and natural systems	Modelling energy and material demands, decent living conditions, and emissions from buildings	<ul> <li>Tracking archetypes of demands:</li> <li>Quantify material usage</li> <li>Recycling</li> <li>Environmental impacts</li> </ul> Used to better define input for IAM models	
➤ SECTORS	<ul> <li>Macro- economy</li> <li>Energy system</li> <li>Land use</li> <li>Climate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Energy &amp; land system</li> <li>Agricultural economy</li> <li>Climate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Residential and commercial buildings</li> </ul>	Input-output scenario and material flow models for the building sector & passenger vehicle	
> SPATIAL COVERAGE	Global, regional (12 world regions)	Global, regional (26 world regions)	Global, <u>regional</u>	Global, regional	

## THE MODELS USED IN THE SHAPE PROJECT

SELECTED SDG INDICATORS AND THEIR COVERAGE IN SHAPE's MODELS						
SDG	Indicator	REMIND- MAgPIE	IMAGE	MESSAGEix- Buildings	EXIOfuture+ ODYM-RECC	
SDG 1 NO POVERTY	Population living in (extreme) poverty (poverty lines at \$1.90/day, \$3.20/day, \$5.50/day) Note: A key assumption of the SHAPE SDP scenarios is the rapid reduction of inequal- ity to meet poverty eradication targets.	Ø	X	X	E	
	Population at risk of hunger	M	Ø	x	X	
SDG 2 ZERO HUNGER	Food availability	Ø	M	X	X	
	Food price	M	M	E	X	
SDG 3	Ambient air pollution: Premature deaths & life years lost	M	×	X	×	
GOOD HEALTH & WELL-BEING	Indoor air pollution: Child mortality	E	M	E	X	
SDG 4 QUALITY EDUCATION	Note: This SDG is covered as part of the SDP scenario assumptions used by all models. It is covered through the population projections (based on SSP1) <sup>1</sup> .	-	-	-	-	
SDG 5 GENDER EQUALITY	Note: This SDG is covered as part of the SDP scenario assumptions used by all models. It is covered by "gender equality in education" as part of the population projections (based on SSP1).	-	-	-		
SDG 6	Total water withdrawal	X	Ø	X	X	
SANITATION	Nitrogen surplus on cropland	M		E	X	
	Population with access to electricity	E	M	Ø	X	
SDG 7 AFFORDABLE &	Population with access to clean cooking	<b></b>	Ø	Ø	X	
CLEAN ENERGY	Final energy use per capita	M		(⊠)	(☑)	
	Share of electrified final energy	M	M	(☑)	×	
SDG 8 DECENT WORK &	Growth rate GDP per capita (PPP) Note: GDP scenarios are part of the SDP assumption set and are harmonized between all models.	Ø	Ø	Ø	(团)	
ECONOMIC GROWTH	Ratio of GDP per capita (PPP) of developing regions to OECD average	Ø	M	Ø	(☑)	
SDG 9	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from industrial processes	M		X	M	
INDUSTRY, INNOVATION &	Cement production	Ø		(⊠)		
INFRASTRUCTURE	Steel production	V	M	(⊠)		
SDG 10	Relative poverty (share of population below 50% of median national income)	M	(⊠)	(⊠)	X	
REDUCED INEQUALITY	Inequality (average income of bottom 40% relative to national average)	M	(⊠)	(⊠)	x	
SDG 11 SUSTAINABLE CITIES	Floor space per capita (Residential / Commercial)	(⊠)	(⊠)	Ø		
& COMMUNITIES	Air pollution (urban areas)	M	×	×	×	

<sup>1</sup> SSP1 is one of five Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) described in <u>O'Neill et al. (2017)</u>. The SSPs are a set of assumptions in climate and sustainability modelling, including among others projections for population, demographics, and gender-specific education outcomes. SSP1 is the most optimistic of the five SSPs.

		-	•	-	-
SDG 12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION & PRODUCTION	Share of recycled steel	M	M	2	(⊠)
	Food waste	M		X	X
	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	M		Ø	Ø
SDG 13 CLIMATE ACTION	Kyoto gases emissions	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø
	Global mean temperature increase	M		2	X
SDG 14 LIFE BELOW WATER	ATER Note: This SDG is not covered as an output indicator. However, taking ocean acidifica- tion as an example, it is addressed implic- itly as it is largely determined through the CO <sub>2</sub> budget. The budget chosen for the SDP scenarios limits ocean acidification.		(☑)	X	E
SDG 15	Biodiversity Intactness Index (terrestrial)	Ø	Ø	X	X
LIFE ON LAND	Fertilizer use (nitrogen)	M	M	X	
SDG 16 PEACE, JUSTICE & STRONG INSTITUTIONS	<b>G 16</b> ACE, JUSTICE & STRONG STITUTIONS Note: Peace and institutional quality are key enabling factors / prerequisites for achieving the SDGs. To a certain extent, this SDG is covered qualitatively in the SDP scenario narratives.		-	-	-
SDG 17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS	Policy cost (of climate policy)	M		X	X
	International climate finance transfers		X	X	X

## FAQ:



Yes, the IAMs extensively use historical data on economic development, energy, land use, emissions, etc. to ensure that historical developments are matched. As most of the models work in 5-year timesteps, 2020 is the last year for which historical data (e.g., on GDP and population) is used. Certain parts of the models are even calibrated to reproduce historical observations, for example concerning historical energy use. Near-term trends projected by the models are also routinely compared to numbers published by e.g., international agencies.

*Many IAMs follow cost-effective approaches, how does this affect the interpretation of the results?* The SDP scenarios follow a cost-effective projection <u>combined</u> with social, environmental, and technical drivers, and limits as specified by the SDP scenario storylines. Examples are more sustainable lifestyles, or upper limits on the use of carbon capture and storage (CCS). Thus, the results also imply transitions that are not driven by cost-effectiveness, but by a much broader set of environmental and social objectives. The different SDP scenarios also vary in this regard: The SDP scenario "Economic Innovation" relies more strongly on cost-effective approaches (as described in the storyline), in contrast to the SDP scenario "Resilient Communities" where sustainable lifestyles play an important role.

Version February 2023. Please cite as SHAPE project 2023 - Fact Sheet: Models used in SHAPE

More information about SHAPE's Sustainable Development Pathways: <u>https://shape-project.org/</u>

Acknowledgements:

The project SHAPE is part of AXIS, an ERA-NET initiated by JPI Climate, and funded by FORMAS (SE, Grant No. JPI-059-2018), FFG/BMBWF (AT), DLR/BMBF (DE, Grant No. 01LS1907A-B-C), NWO (NL) and RCN (NO) with co-funding by the European Union (Grant No. 776608).

FORMAS









The Research Council of Norway